Regulatory Review Framework

This framework outlines how the Ministry for Regulation reviews how regulation is designed and delivered in New Zealand, to influence and/or lead change to regulation that is not fit for purpose.



PRE-REVIEW

Prioritising what matters

We identify sectors, industries, and regulated activities for review using a transparent, risk-based approach that considers potential economic impact and the government's strategic priorities. The Ministry identifies issues to review in three ways; environmental scanning, public submissions, and highlighted by the Minister for Regulation.

PUBLIC SUBMISSIONS

HIGHLIGHTED BY THE MINISTER FOR REGULATION

> ENVIRONMENTAL SCANNING

SELECTION METHODOLOGY

Considers risk, economic impact, and strategic priorities

REVIEW DELIVERY

Evidence-led and consultative

We anticipate objectives, scope, and desired outcomes for each review that are set out in the review's Terms of Reference. We apply an analytical framework to guide our reviews, which are grounded in data, evidence, and consultation with affected parties, and we ensure quality and objectivity using governance and advisory forums/committees.

STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMEN,

GOVERNANCE

EVIDENCE-BASED TOOLS

DELIVERY OF RECOMMENDATIONS

e.g., retain, amend, repeal, or replace elements of the regulatory system, or consider non-regulatory approaches

IMPLEMENTATION SUPPORT

Planning and monitoring

We support the relevant agencies to develop an implementation plan and implement decisions made by Ministers on our recommendations, to the extent agreed in the Terms of Reference.

IMPLEMENTATION BY THE RELEVANT AGENCIES

IMPLEMENTATION PLAN SUPPORT

POST-IMPLEMENTATION REVIEW

A structured methodology to assess effectiveness after implementation

POST-REVIEW

Evaluating impact

We track implementation, report on progress, and conduct a post-implementation review to ensure that effective change is delivered.

